

the conspiracy -
actions. Williams (my acting lawyer
note on Simon Lockhart's fabricated
& Forged statement of Defence in

[Redacted handwritten text]

[Redacted handwritten text]

Williams (3)
Malpractice

[Redacted handwritten text]

④ which Williams refers to Mr Burtis Default Summons served on me for the non-payment of his survey account which Robertson had stopped to get the Default Summons. This referred to the Actual Default Summons which says and I quote in Williams own handwriting:

"I's Affidavit of service of Summons filed in court."

There was only one Default Summons at the time. "Burtis." Why Williams interest in this. The answer is simple. Williams was part of the Swindle. The second note on the Fabricated Defence Notice in Williams writing was ~~any notice of~~

"any notice of intention to defend filed in court."

It is clear Williams ^{+ Seary} well knew of Robertson's + Lockhart's criminal activity in them getting a judgment and then the Distress Warrant which sent the Bailiff onto my property to lift my stock. This was a ~~check~~ It is also clear

⑤ Williams knew of Simon Lockhart & Robertson's deliberate processing of Bankruptcy against me to extort my assets from me as Williams (note referring to) in his own handwriting:—

↳ "Any notice of intention to defend filed in court." This was pinned to Simon Lockhart's Fabricated and Forged Statement of Defence, ^{PLEASE SEE.} so it must be obvious Lockhart & Williams discussed this matter fully. This phony statement of Defence cooked up by Lockhart in connivance with Robertson used some of ^{THE CONTENTS OF} Robertson's Fabricated letter which I found on Lockhart's file. This Forged & Fabricated Statement of Defence was used to set aside the judgment Lockhart deceitfully let Mr Lewis take against me for his survey debt & account of \$1195. I can only assume Lockhart deliberately hid notice of the court hearing from me to force Mr Lewis into serving a distress warrant on me by the Bailiff. After the distress warrant was served me Lockhart

© lodged his Fabricated statement of
Defence ^{IN THE COURT} on 24/10/1968 and the
Judgment was set aside on 31/11/1968.
It is known at this stage Mr Burtis
had already in process of being served
a Bankruptcy Petition ^{ON ME} but this was
stopped on the Judgment being set
aside. This setting aside of the
Judgment was done to stop me going
Bankrupt at that stage as the
Official Assignee becoming involved
at that stage would have thrown
a spanner in the works. They had
to get their hands on my farm before
the Assignee became involved and also
for Williams to deceitfully put the
lid on the haldwell case ^{As it so}
happened this ^{BEFORE THE ASSIGNEE BECAME INVOLVED.} occurred, and they (the
lawyers acting for the Conglian
Childrens Trust) got their hands
£ on my farm for a paltry sum
by dishonest mean with the aid of the
Con Man Timothy Williams Robertson
By the same means (of hiding vital
Judgments from me by criminal means
of concealment + allowed them to take
Fraudulent Bills of Sale

⑦ in favour of Robertson's Fraudulent
company Robertson O'Keefe Ltd.

Robertson O'Keefe Ltd.

⑦

